

## NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING

AGENDA

# District Board of Directors 

8888 Cabrillo Highway
Montara, California 94037

## September 29, 2016 at 7:30 p.m.

## CALL TO ORDER ROLL CALL <br> PRESIDENT'S STATEMENT <br> ORAL COMMENTS (Items other than those on the agenda) <br> PUBLIC HEARING <br> CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approve Minutes for Special Meeting on August 4, 2016, and Minutes for Regular Scheduled Meeting on August 4, 2016.
2. Approve Financial Statements for August 2016.
3. Approve Warrants for October 1, 2016.
4. SAM Flow Report for August 2016.
5. Monthly Review of Current Investment Portfolio.
6. Connection Permit Applications Received.
7. Monthly Water Production Report for August 2016.
8. Rain Report.

## OLD BUSINESS NEW BUSINESS

1. Review and Possible Action Concerning Adoption of Appropriations Limit for FY 2016-2017
2. Receive Update on $4^{\text {th }}$ Street Water Main Replacement Project.
3. Review of InPipe Power Generation - Potential Use of New Technology
4. Review and Possible Action Concerning Cancellation of Next Regular Scheduled Meetings October 6, and October 20, 2016.

## REPORTS

1. Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside Meetings (Boyd)
2. MidCoast Community Council Meeting (Slater-Carter)
3. CSDA Report (Slater-Carter)
4. CCWD, NCCWD Committee Report (Harvey, Huber)
5. Attorney's Report (Schricker)
6. Directors' Reports
7. General Manager's Report (Heldmaier)

## FUTURE AGENDAS

## CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEE EVALUATION (Gov't. Code §54957)

## ADJOURNMENT

The District has a curfew of 11:00 p.m. for all meetings. The meeting may be extended for one hour by vote of the Board.

NOTE: In accordance with the Government Code, members of the public may address the Board on specific agenda items when that matter is discussed by the Board. Any other items of interest that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the District may be addressed during the Oral Comments portion of the meeting. Upon request, this agenda will be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Request for a disability-related modification or an accommodation in order to participate in the public meeting should be made at (650) 728-3545. Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Board after distribution of the agenda packet are available in the District Clerk's office during normal business hours. Such documents may also be available on the District's web site (www.mwsd.montara.org) subject to staff's ability to post the documents before the meeting.


# MONTARA WATER \& SANITARY <br> DISTRICT 

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS SPECIAL MEETING <br> August 4, 2016

## MINUTES

SPECIAL MEETING BEGAN AT 7:04 p.m.
CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL
Directors Present: Boyd, Harvey, Wilson and Huber Director Slater-Carter by teleconference Directors Absent: None
Staff Present: General Manager, Clemens Heldmaier, Others Present: District Counsel, Dave Schricker

PRESIDENT'S STATEMENT - None
President Harvey announced that there has been a request to speak on Open Session Item 1.

## ORAL COMMENTS ON OPEN SESSION AGENDA ITEM 1

Mr. and Ms. Jeffrey Cockrell, Montara residents at 345 9th Street, addressed the Board regarding open session agenda item 1. Ms. Cockrell stated that on June 1, 2016 she noticed that their sewer system had overflowed into their backyard, noting that, as the result of a prior sewage back-up, their plumber installed a plug in the sewer line "upside down" so that if there were any further back-ups, the sewage would be diverted to the backyard.

She stated that Rescue Rooter responded to their call the next day and estimated that repairs would cost approximately $\$ 250$. After observing the
situation, Rescue Rooter quoted the cost at approximately $\$ 1200$ due to the need for a larger "snake." That equipment did not solve the problem. At 6 p.m. that night (June 3, 2016), the Cockrells called Montara Water and Sanitary District (MWSD) whose personnel said that the main sewer line was fine, the problem was in the Cockrells' line, and that the District had no responsibility.

In response to President Harvey's question, Ms. Cockrell stated that that discussion occurred on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ of June.

General Manager Heldmaier noted that it was SAM's (Sewer Authority MidCoastside) personnel who spoke to the Cockrells.

Mrs. Cockrell continued, stating that the sewage continued to flow and ran into their neighbor's (Bremers') back yard and "bubbled up" onto 8th Street. Eventually Rescue Rooter determined that the Cockrell's sewer lateral was not connected to the main in the street.

Mr. Cockrell distributed a written statement of the facts of their case (copy attached).

Director Slater-Carter requested a brief explanation of the contents of the statement.

Mr. Cockrell introduced himself and reported he spoke with a Board member yesterday about their claim. He stated he understood that their claim will be discussed in closed session and was concerned that it might be denied.

Mr. Cockrell stated that he authorized Rescue Rooter to make the necessary repairs because the sewage continued to flow and that he had been told he had the responsibility to fix the problem, He acknowledged that he had discussed the situation with Mr. Heldmaier who told him that MWSD had a contractor working in the area who might be able to perform the repair work at a lower cost than that quoted to Mr. Cockrell, but the situation needed immediate correction and he could not wait for a cheaper bid.

Mr. Cockrell stated he understood that his claim would be discussed in closed session and that there will be a recommendation to deny it. He expressed concern with that because ultimately it will put him at the mercy of an insurance company. He viewed the problem as MWSD's and that he should be paid immediately for the costs he incurred, since it was MWSD's fault.

Director Wilson stated that he believed the denial of the claim will be a procedural issue and the Board is quite amenable to resolving it, noting that the way the laws of the State work, the District has to comply with the claims procedure and pursue the appropriate insurance company for the repair costs.

Mr. Cockrell noted that the costs exceed $\$ 35,000$. He further noted that he doesn't have a problem with the insurance company, but he wants action by the District to make him whole and have the District deal with the insurance company.

Director Slater-Carter requested District Counsel to give his opinion regarding procedural issues.

Director Boyd noted that we have insurance to make sure things like this get paid. Mr. Cockrell stated he understand how the claims procedure works.

Director Huber stated that the Board's intent is for the Cockrells to be made whole but that the District has to work through the claims process and that at the end of the day the goal is to make sure the Cockrells are made whole.

President Harvey requested District Counsel Schricker to respond.
Mr. Cockrell stated that he was not concerned about process, but about the amount that he had to pay for the repairs.

Mr. Schricker noted the District requires payment by the appropriate insurance companies.

Mr. Cockrell interrupted to say that an insurance company had already contacted him and requested documentation of the claim.

Mr. Schricker explained the company will want a statement from the Cockrells and inquired which company had contacted them.

Mr. Cockrell reported "Travelers."
Mr. Schricker stated that he anticipated that there will be more than one insurance company involved, noting that the District's insurer is CSRMA, California Sanitation Risk Management Authority; that the District sent the claim to CSRMA, which in turn tendered it to Travelers Insurance Company, the insurer for the District's contractor, Pacific Trenchless, which has been identified as the responsible party.

Mr. Schricker stated that, from a practical standpoint, if the investigation is as far along as it appears, that is favorable for the Cockrells because the claim is already in the hands of the adjuster for the contractor's insurer.

Mr. Cockrell confirmed that it was the adjuster who called him.
Mr . Schricker noted that processing the claim is moving forward.
President Harvey stated that it appears the process is on its way so that the Cockrell's should not need a lawyer and that the claim should be handled to the Cockrell's satisfaction. He repeated that the District wants to make the Cockrells
whole, but that technically, procedurally, the Board has to say "no." He stated that the process seems to be moving along very quickly.

Mr. Cockrell reported Rescue Rooter has been paid in full.
President Harvey stated he understood that.
Mr. Cockrell added that the insurance adjuster wanted some documents which he faxed immediately, but that when he contacted her later she stated that she had to contact the contractor who worked on the District's project. He further added that when he contacted the contractor (Pacific Trenchless), that company informed him that they had not yet been contacted by the adjuster. Mr. Cockrell stated that he pays his bills and expects others to do the same.

President Harvey noted - "point taken," and thanked the Cockrells for attending the Board's meeting and providing information.

Mr. Cockrell thanked the Board for listening.
President Harvey promised Mr. Cockrell that the District would be in touch with him and requested that the Cockrells confer with the General Manager on this issue.

Mr. Cockrell stated that the General Manager has been very responsive; that he has friends on the Board and intentionally did not speak with them and dealt only with the General Manager until he understood his claim was going to be on the Board's Agenda, at which time he did call a Board Member. Mr. Cockrell thanked the Board for letting him and Mrs. Cockrell speak.

Mrs. Cockrell questioned the Board if they have another issue, "can we address the Board again?"

President Harvey stated that they can always address the Board.
President Harvey called a recess and announced that the Board would convene in closed session following the recess.

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION (Government Code §54956.9(d))
Name of case: Claim of J. Cockrell
At 7:25 PM the Board convened in closed session for conference with legal counsel regarding existing litigation.

## RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION

At 7:30 PM the Board reconvened in open session.

President Harvey called for consideration of Item 1.

1. Consideration of, and possible action on, claim of Jeffrey Cockrell (Sewer backup)
Recommended Action: Reject claim
General Manager Heldmaier stated that the staff recommendation is to reject the claim.

Director Boyd noted that there had been a mishap in a resident's sewer line that involved a District project and the Board would like to refer it to the District's insurance company to be able to move quickly on the claim. He stated that it is necessary for the District to take the formal step to reject the claim in order to expedite resolution of the claim.

Director Boyd then moved to reject the claim. Director Wilson seconded the motion.

Roll call - Ayes: Harvey, Boyd, Wilson, Huber, Slater-Carter Nays: None

ADJOURN
At 7:34 PM President Harvey declared the Special Meeting adjourned.

## SPECIAL MEETING ENDED at 7:34 P.M.

Respectfully Submitted,
Signed $\qquad$
Secretary

Approved on the $29^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2016
Signed $\qquad$
President

July 5, 2016
Mr. Jeffrey Cockrell
$3459^{\mathrm{hh}} \mathrm{St}$
Montara, CA 94037
Re: Sewer Repair Project
Dear Mr. Cockrell:

On Saturday, 6/4/16 you had a mainline back up leaking raw sewage in your yard, flowing into your neighbor's yard. Our tech could not clear it by conventional means, so we brought in our hie\} pressure scour jet in an attempt to clear your line.

After spending most of the day onsite using thes'eour jet and camera location equipment, it was determined your sewer line was compromised under the city street, as was evidenced by raw sewage coming up through the street itself.

The local sewer district came by and determined they had no responsibility to make any repairs and informed you the responsibility to perform any repairs was yours.

On an emergency basis we proceeded to make the necessary excavations under the county road right of way and your neighbor's property. During this process we discovered your sewer lateral had never been hooked in to the main sewer.


# MONTARA WATER \& SANITARY <br> DISTRICT 

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING <br> August 4, 2016

## MINUTES

## REGULAR SESSION BEGAN AT 7:42 p.m.

## CALL TO ORDER

Directors Present: Boyd, Harvey, Wilson and Huber Director Slater-Carter by teleconference
Directors Absent: None
Staff Present: General Manager, Clemens Heldmaier
Others Present: District Counsel, Dave Schricker

## PRESIDENT'S STATEMENT - None

ORAL COMMENTS - None
PUBLIC HEARING - None

## CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approve Minutes for June 2nd, 2016.
2. Approve Financial Statements for June 2016.
3. Approve Warrants for August 1, 2016.
4. SAM flow Report for June, 2016.
5. Monthly Review of Current Investment Portfolio.
6. Connection Permit Applications Received.
7. Monthly Water Production Report for June 2016.
8. Rain Report.
9. Solar Energy Report.
10. Monthly Public Agency Retirement Service Report for May 2016.

Director Huber noted the SAM Flows seem to be back to where it has been before. Director Huber then asked the SAM Representatives not to lose sight of getting this resolved.

MWSD Minutes
$4^{\text {th }}$ August, 2016
Director Wilson moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Director Boyd seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was called for and the motion passed unanimously 5-0.

## OLD BUSINESS

## 1. Review and Possible Action Concerning Grand Jury Report about Sanitary Districts.

General Manager Heldmaier reported on June 29th the San Mateo County Grand Jury (GJ) published a report titled: "San Mateo's Cottage Industry of Sanitary District"

Declared goal of the report is to determine if the 45 County agencies providing sewer service provide efficient and beneficial service. Citing lack of time and resources the GJ focused solely on the 6 special districts in the County.

Following an interesting mix of facts and opinions the GJ recommendations focused on consolidation, transition of property taxes to cities and associated rate increases for the current district beneficiaries (customers of special districts) and some recommendations in regards to Board elections and compensation.

Staff is in the process of preparing a suggested response at this time. The established committee has met and provided additional direction. A draft response is expected to be presented to the Board at the September 1 meeting.

At this time, we are asking each Board member to submit comments or areas of concerns to the Committee (Directors Boyd and Huber) and the General Manager.

Director Boyd noted the committee is going to hit all the points and frame as constructively as possible.

Director Huber noted a lot of the points they made after clarification and corrected for some errors in many instances those points made us look pretty good. For example Directors compensation, we are significantly lower than the other districts are. This sort of trend repeats itself on quite a few points.

## NEW BUSINESS

## 1. Review and Possible Action Concerning Questions Received from Resist Density in Regards to Sierra 1.

General Manager Heldmaier reported at the past two meetings, members of Resist Density poised questions to the Board in Regards to the suggested Sierra 1 project. The Board instructed staff to address the questions in a separate agenda item.

Resist Density Questions are in italic.

1. Is there enough sewer capacity to manage all this?

Yes for both water and sewer. Per Local Coastal Program LCP policies, MWSD is required to set aside sewer and water capacity for priority uses before serving non priority connections. Table 2.31 of the LCP designates 32,708 gallons for specific developments on designated sites containing affordable housing. Current dry weather flows for MWSD are below 0.25 mgd . Combined flows of 0.28 mgd would be significantly below MWSD's treatment capacity of roughly 0.55 mgd . (Table 2.31 is attached to the minutes.)

MWSD was required to reserve water capacity through the Districts Publics Work Plan process, monitored by the California Coastal Commission and San Mateo County.
2. Can the existing infrastructure handle a large number of units coming online at once? Who pays for any expansion of infrastructure should it be needed? How will the required sewer service for the new development effect potential blockages and SSO's for existing downhill customers?

This question will be addressed by the District's sewer engineer once an application has been received. Any needed upgrades will have to be paid in full by the developer. The location of sewer and water connections will also be assessed through the sewer and water engineer. High Capacity sewer and water mains are located in the vicinity of the project.
3. How will the required water service for the new development effect water pressure, water quality and frequency of outages for existing downhill customers?

As MWSD system is a gravity fed water system, there will be no effect to water pressure in the relevant zone. While water flows through MWSD mains would slightly increase and potentially benefit water quality, the effects are minimal. No change in water quality is expected. Temporarily shutting off water service to neighboring residents might be a necessity during construction.
4. How will these risks, if any, be mitigated?

Risks will be assessed by the District. Mitigation measures, if any, will be implemented and paid for by the developer.
5. Will sewer and water rates for current customers be effected by the new development?

Additional water sales and sewer service charges collected from the project could positively affect the rates for other customers in the District.
J.Q Oeswein, resident of Moss Beach, questioned the treatment capacity of 550000 gallons per day. When was this number established?

General Manager Heldmaier reported this was when the treatment plant expansion was done about 1998 - 1999.

Lou Wall reported there was 400,000 in the original plan. When we expanded we bought an additional 100,000 gallons.

Director Slater-Carter reported in looking at the LCP, chapter 1, section 1.23 does talk about 40 units and exception for affordable housing. This needs to be read very carefully.

Director Slater-Carter further reported in terms of sewer and water there is no problem. In terms of the number of building permits, this needs to be looked at.

## 2. Review and Possible Action Concerning 4th Street Water Main Replacement Bid Approval.

General Manager Heldmaier reported the Montara Water and Sanitary District's water main on 4th Street in Montara has experienced many leaks and needed repairs in the recent years. Based on the water main condition and the District's Capital Improvements Program prioritization criteria, the water main needs to be replaced to further promote leak reduction and preserve the District's resources.

Stoloski \& Gonzalez of Half Moon Bay, Ca is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for this Project that was included in the FY 2016/2017 Budget.

Director Wilson moved to adopt the next resolution in order, A Resolution of the Montara Water and Sanitary District Accepting Bid for the 4th Street Water Main Replacement Project; Declaring Lowest Responsible Bidder for Said Work, Rejecting All Other Bids Approving and Authorizing Execution of Agreement For Said Work, and Directing Return of Security Deposits. Director Boyd seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was called for, all were in favor and the motion passed 5-0.

## 3. Review of Planned Montara Creek Diversion Structure Improvements.

General Manager Heldmaier reported the Districts surface water source accounts for almost $1 / 3$ of the community water supply. The water intake structure is located in a remote and difficult to reach location. To maintain the structure a climb up a narrow passage through Montara Creek is required. To avoid risks, District staff did not access the site in recent years. Minimal maintenance has been provided by Balance Hydrologic staff during the monthly stream gauging. District staff worked diligently with the District's Safety advisor, ACWA JPIA, and the property owner

GGNRA. Due to the location being an environmental sensitive area significant planning and coordination were required to satisfy both GGNRA process and OSHA safety requirements. Safety ropes will now be replaced with fixed climbing ladders. General Manager Heldmaier thanked Julian Martinez, District Superintendent, for all his hard work on this project.

This if for Board information only.

## 4. Review and Possible Action Concerning California Special Districts Association 2016 Board Elections.

General Manager Heldmaier reported the annual elections to the California Special Districts Association (CSDA) are being held by mail. The ballot has been provided by CSDA and must be submitted by August 5, 2016. There are six regional divisions each with three Board members elected by those in their division. The position up for election is Seat B in Region 3 which serves the San Francisco Bay Area.

Director Slater-Carter was asked for a recommendation for Seat B in Region 3.
Director Slater-Carter suggested to have someone who is familiar with water and sewer.

The Board will submit the ballot for John Carapiet for Seat A in Region 3.

## 5. Review and Possible Action Concerning Cancellation of Next Regular

 Scheduled Meeting, August 18, 2016.General Manager Heldmaier reports there are no urgent items that require holding the second meeting in August.

## REPORTS

1. Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside Meeting (Boyd) - reported

Recycled Water Project is moving forward. HMB is needing more information but I think that we are close to something workable.
There will be a meeting with Ocean Colony Partners to see what they are willing to work with. The 3 members of SAM will find a way to move ahead on this.
2. MidCoast Community Council Meeting (Slater-Carter) - reported The Council has been discussing the new ordinance the Board of Supervisors
is considering regarding Air B\&B in the unincorporated Coastside.
3. CSDA Report (Slater-Carter) - None
4. CCWD, NCCWD Committee Report - (Harvey, Huber) None
5. Attorney's Report (Schricker) - None
6. Directors Report - None
7. General Manager's Report (Heldmaier) - None

## FUTURE AGENDAS-

## REGULAR MEETING ENDED at 8:37P.M.

Respectfully Submitted,
Signed
Secretary

Approved on the 29th, September 2016
Signed
President

Coastal Act Priorities

## Marine-Related Industrial

## Commercial Recreation

## Public Recreation

## Local Coastal Program Priorities

Specic Developments on Designated Sites Containing
Affordable Housing
Affordable Housing

## (1) North Moss Beach Site (11 acres)

## (2) South Moss Beach Site (12.5 acres)

Percent of Buildout Allowed by Phase
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# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT 



## Unaudited Financial Statements for August 2016



# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

For Meeting Of: September 29, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens H. Heldmaier, General Manager
Budget vs. Actual - Water August, 2016 Variances over $\$ 2,000$ :

- Overall Total Operating Income for the period ending August 31, 2016 was $\$ 681$ above budget. Total revenue received to date is \$155,176.
- 5610 Accounting, $\$ 2,500$ below Budget - No activity to-date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- 5620 Audit, $\$ 10,250$ below Budget - No activity to-date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- 6200 Engineering, $\$ 5,357$ above Budget - Payment for Water Quality engineering services as well as Program Management expenses.
- 6400 Pumping, $\$ 4,193$ below Budget - PG\&E expenses were higher than anticipated through August.
- 6600 Collection/Transmission, $\$ 7,267$ below Budget - Minimal activity todate. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- 6700 Treatment, $\$ 3,901$ below Budget - Minimal activity to-date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- Overall Total Operating Expenses for the period ending August 31, 2016 were $\$ 10,854$ below budget.
- Total overall Expenses for the period ending August 31, 2016 were $\$ 30,585$ below budget. For a net ordinary income of $\$ 31,266$, budgeted vs. actual. Actual net ordinary income is $\$ 55,976$.
- 7100 Connection Fees, $\$ 16,333$ below Budget - No new connections issued in August.
- 7600 Bond Revenues, G.O. $\$ 95,870$ below Budget - County typically remits the first payment in October or November.
- 8000 CIP, $\$ 39,861$ below Budget - Minimal activity to-date. Most work has been concentrated on the $4^{\text {th }}$ street project.

RECOMMENDATION:
This is for Board information only


## SUBJECT: Unaudited Financial Statements - Executive <br> Summary

## Budget vs. Actual - Sewer August, 2016 Variances over $\$ 2,000$ :

- Overall Total Operating Income for the period ending August 31, 2016 was $\$ 2,342$ above budget. Total revenue received to date is \$8,008.
- 5400 Legal, $\$ 2,499$ above Budget- Payment for general sewer legal issues paid in August.
- 5610 Accounting, $\$ 2,500$ below Budget - No activity to-date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- 5620 Audit, $\$ 6,500$ below Budget - No activity to date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- 6200 Engineering, $\$ 4,333$ below Budget - No activity to-date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- 6940 \& 6950 SAM Maintenance, Collection Sys, $\$ 3,333$ below Budget \& 6950 SAM Maintenance, Pumping, $\$ 4,167$ below Budget (respectively) No activity to-date. Budget is spread evenly between twelve months.
- Overall Total Operating Expenses for the period ending August 31, 2016 were \$13,597 below Budget.
- Total overall Expenses for the period ending August 31, 2016 were $\$ 24,681$ below budget. For a net ordinary income of $\$ 27,023$, budgeted vs. actual. Actual net ordinary loss is (\$113,674).
- 7100 Connection Fees, $\$ 15,324$ below Budget - One Remodel connection sold in August, One New Construction connections refund issued in August.
- 8000 CIP, $\$ 145,451$ below Budget - Minimal activity to-date.

RECOMMENDATION:
This is for Board information only

## Ordinary Incomel Expense

$4410 \cdot$ Administrative Fee (New Constr)
$4420 \cdot$ Administrative Fee (Remodel)
$4430 \cdot$ Inspection Fee (New Constr) Total 4400 - Fees
Accrual Basis


> Revenue \& Expenditures Budget vs. Actual - Water August 2016

| Montara Water \& Sanitary District Revenue \& Expenditures Budget vs. Actual - Water August 2016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water |  |  |
| Aug 16 | Budget | \$ Over Budget |
| $\begin{array}{r} 25,111.00 \\ 149,655.02 \\ 1,672.69 \end{array}$ | 1,672.69 | 0.00 |
| 176,438.71 | 1,672.69 | 174,766.02 |
| 188,160.83 | 53,256.02 | 134,904.81 |
| -188,160.83 | 58,946.99 | -247,107.82 |
| -132,184.26 | 83,657.51 | $-215,841.77$ |

Montara Water \& Sanitary District
Revenue \&: Expenditures Budget vs. Actual - Sewer



$6210 \cdot$ Meeting Attendance, Engineering
$6220 \cdot$ General Engineering
6200 . Engineering 6320 - Equipment \& Tools, Expensed - Alarm Services $6337 \cdot$ Landscaping
Total $6330 \cdot$ Facilities

Total $6400 \cdot$ Pumping 6600 - Collection/Transmission
6660 . Maintenance, Collection System Total 6600 - Collection/Transmission
6800 - Vehicles
6820 - Truck Equipment, Expensed Total 6800 - Vehicles
$6000 \cdot$ Operations
$6000 \cdot$ Operations
09/16/16


## ASSETS

Current Assets Checking/Savings Sewer - Bank Accounts Wells Fargo Operating -Sewer LAIF Investment Fund Capital Reserve Connection Fees Reserve Operating Reserve

Total LAIF Investment Fund
Total Sewer - Bank Accounts
Water - Bank Accounts

## Wells Fargo Operating - Water

Capital Reserve
Operating Reserve
Restricted Cash Acq \& Improv Fund Connection Fees Reserve Cost of Issuance GO Bonds Fund
Total Restricted Cash
Total Water - Bank Accounts
Total Checking/Savings
Accounts Receivable
Sewer - Accounts Receivable Accounts Receivable
Total Sewer - Accounts Receivable
Water - Accounts Receivable Accounts Receivable
Accounts Rec. - Backflow Accounts Rec. - Water Residents Unbilled Water Receivables
Total Water - Accounts Receivable
Total Accounts Receivable
Other Current Assets Maint/Parts Inventory
Total Other Current Assets
Total Current Assets
Fixed Assets
Sewer - Fixed Assets


Land
Other Capital Improv. Sewer-Original Cost Other Cap. Improv.
Total Other Capital Improv.
Seal Cove Collection System Sewage Collection Facility Collection Facility - Org. Cost Collection Facility - Other

Total Sewage Collection Facility

Montara Water \& Sanitary District Funds Balance Sheet

As of August 31, 2016
$\qquad$
$\underline{\text { Water }}$

|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| 0.00 | $3,075,524.30$ |
|  |  |
| 0.00 | $3,853,967.15$ |
| 0.00 | $152,756.00$ |
| 0.00 | $281,893.00$ |
| 0.00 | $4,288,616.15$ |
| 0.00 | $7,364,140.45$ |


| 0.00 | $618,197.47$ | $618,197.47$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | $398,249.00$ | $398,249.00$ |  |
| 0.00 | $190,251.00$ | $190,251.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0.00 | 436.13 | 436.13 |  |
| 0.00 | $157,000.00$ | $157,000.00$ |  |
| 0.00 | 122.94 | 122.94 |  |
| 0.00 | $796,526.91$ |  | $796,526.91$ |
| 0.00 | $954,085.98$ |  | $954,085.98$ |
| 0.00 |  | $2,160,783.45$ |  |
| $7,364,140.45$ | $2,160,783.45$ |  | $9,524,923,90$ |

$$
\frac{10,550.7}{10,550.7}
$$

$$
\frac{0.00}{0.00} \frac{10,550.72}{10,550.72}
$$

| 0.00 | 569.74 | 569.74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 8,969.19 | 8,969.19 |
| 0.00 | 88,645.61 | 88,645.61 |
| 0.00 | 222,714.27 | 222,714.27 |
| 0.00 | 320,898.81 | 320,898.81 |
| 10,550.72 | 320,898.81 | $331,449.53$ |
| 0.00 | 42,656.32 | 42,656.32 |
| 0.00 | 42,656.32 | 42,656.32 |
| 7,374,691.17 | 2,524,338.58 | 9,899,029.75 |


| $2,335,210.98$ | 0.00 | $2,335,210.98$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5,000.00$ | 0.00 | $5,000.00$ |
| $685,599.18$ | 0.00 | $685,599.18$ |
| $2,564,810.39$ | 0.00 | $2,564,810.39$ |
| $3,250,409.57$ | 0.00 | $3,250,409.57$ |
| $995,505.00$ | 0.00 | $995,505.00$ |
|  |  |  |
| $1,349,064.00$ | 0.00 | $1,349,064,00$ |
| $3,991,243.33$ | 0.00 | $3,991,243.33$ |
| $5,340,307.33$ | 0.00 | $5,340,307.33$ |

9/16/16
Accrual Basis

Total Fixed Assets

## Other Assets

Sewer - Other Assets Joint Power Authority SAM - Orig Collection Facility SAM - Expansion
Total Joint Power Authority
Total Sewer - Other Assets
Water - Other Assets
Due from Sewer
Bond Acquisition Cost OID Bond Issue Cost
Total Water - Other Assets
Total Other Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Liabilities Current Liabilities
Accounts Payable
Accounts Payable - Sewer
Accounts Payable - Water

Total Accounts Payable
Other Current Liabilities
Sewer-Current Liabilities Accrued Payables - Sewer Accrued Vacations Deposits Payable Interest Payable PNC Equip. Loan - $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T}$
Total Sewer - Current Liabilities
Water-Current Liabilities Accrued Payables - Wate Accrued Vacations Deposits Payable GO Bonds - $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T}$ Interest Payable PFP Water Deposits PNC Equip. Loan - $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T}$ SRF Loan Payable X102-Current SRF Loan Payable X109 - Current
Total Water - Current Liabilities

Montara Water \& Sanitary District Funds Balance Sheet

As of August 31, 2016

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
244,539.84 & 0.00 & 244,539.84 \\
\frac{-7,394,155.00}{} & 0.00 & \\
\cline { 1 - 1 } & 0.776,817.72 & \\
& & 4,776,817.72 \\
0.00 & 25,889,935.10 & 25,889,935.10 \\
0.00 & 734,500.00 & 734,500.00 \\
0.00 & 300,000.00 & 300,000.00 \\
0.00 & 1,058,985.00 & 1,058,985.00 \\
0.00 & 48,171.78 & 48,171.78 \\
0.00 & \frac{-8,896,821.00}{} & \frac{-8,896,821.00}{} \\
\hline 0.00 & 19,134,770.88 & 19,134,770.88 \\
\hline 4,776,817.72 & 19,134,770.88 & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
981,592.00 \\
1,705,955.08 \\
\hline 2,687,547.08 \\
\hline 2,687,547.08
\end{array}
$$

| 0.00 |  | $146,418.50$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 |  | $57,636.40$ |
| 0.00 |  | $61,691.45$ |
|  | $265,746.35$ |  |
| $2,687,547.08$ |  | $265,746.35$ |
| $14,839,055.97$ |  |  |

$\begin{array}{r}8,366.50 \\ 0.00 \\ \hline 8,366.50\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{r}-75.00 \\ 6,911.83 \\ 12,692.00 \\ 11,514.40 \\ 35,905.60 \\ \hline 66,948.83\end{array}$

| 0.00 | -75.00 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | $6,911.83$ |
| 0.00 | $12,692.00$ |
| 0.00 | $11,514.40$ |
| 0.00 | $35,905.60$ |
| 0.00 | $66,948.83$ |


| 0.00 | 978.90 | 978.90 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | $10,719.62$ | $10,719.62$ |
| 0.00 | $9,687.35$ | $9,687.35$ |
| 0.00 | $429,138.70$ | $429,138.70$ |
| 0.00 | $125,552.90$ | $125,552.90$ |
| 0.00 | $4,302.50$ | $4,302.50$ |
| 0.00 | $35,905.59$ | $35,905.59$ |
| 0.00 | $81,026.93$ | $81,026.93$ |
| 0.00 | $158,287.99$ | $158,287.99$ |
| 0.00 | $855,600.48$ | $855,600.48$ |


| Payroll Liabilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Benefits Payable | 3,514.99 | 8,573.91 | 12,088.90 |
| Total Payroll Liabilities | 3,514.99 | 8,573.91 | 12,088.90 |
| Total Other Current Liabilities | 70,463.82 | 864,174.39 | 934,638.21 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 78,830.32 | 877,023.88 | 955,854.20 |
| Long Term Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Sewer - Long Term Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Due to Water Fund | 146,418.50 | 0.00 | 146,418.50 |
| Accrued Vacations | 9,853.51 | 0.00 | 9,853.51 |
| I-Bank Loan | 812,574.49 | 0.00 | 812,574.49 |
| PNC Equip. Loan - LT | 640,930.10 | 0.00 | 640,930.10 |
| Total Sewer - Long Term Liabilities | 1,609,776.60 | 0.00 | 1,609,776.60 |
| Water - Long Term Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Accrued Vacations | 0.00 | 9,969.14 | 9,969.14 |
| Deferred on Refunding | 0.00 | -224,756.00 | -224,756.00 |
| GO Bonds - LTT | 0.00 | 11,479,503.08 | 11,479,503.08 |
| PNC Equip. Loan - LT | 0.00 | 640,930.13 | 640,930.13 |
| SRF Loan Payable - X102 | 0.00 | 210,105.41 | 210,105.41 |
| SRF Loan Payable - X109 | 0.00 | 3,541,174.66 | 3,541, 174.66 |
| Total Water - Long Term Liabilities | 0.00 | 15,656,926.42 | 15,656,926.42 |
| Total Long Term Liabilities | 1,609,776.60 | $\underline{15,656,926.42}$ | $\underline{17,266,703.02}$ |
| Total Liabilities | 1,688,606.92 | 16,533,950.30 | 18,222,557.22 |
| Equity |  |  |  |
| Sewer - Equity Accounts |  |  |  |
| Capital Assets Net | 3,408,252.20 | 0.00 | 3,408,252.20 |
| Fund Balance - Unrestricted | 8,646,292.87 | 0.00 | 8,646,292.87 |
| Retained Earnings | 25,577.76 | 0.00 | 25,577.76 |
| Total Sewer - Equity Accounts | 12,080,122.83 | 0.00 | 12,080,122.83 |
| Water - Equity Accounts |  |  |  |
| Capital Assets Net | 0.00 | 2,868,858.70 | 2,868,858.70 |
| Restricted Debt Service | 0.00 | 1,384,997.90 | 1,384,997.90 |
| Unrestricted | 0.00 | -1,562,801.59 | -1,562,801.59 |
| Retained Earnings | 0.00 | -25,577.76 | -25,577.76 |
| Total Water - Equity Accounts | 0.00 | 2,665,477.25 | 2,665,477.25 |
| Equity Adjustment Account | 1,339,756.28 | 2,767,036.13 | 4,106,792.41 |
| Net Income | -269,430.06 | -41,607.87 | -311,037.93 |
| Total Equity | 13,150,449.05 | 5,390,905.51 | $\underline{18,541,354.56}$ |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES \& EQUITY | 14,839,055.97 | 21,924,855.81 | 36,763,911.78 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { Reserves } \end{aligned}$ | \$Over/Under Targets | \%Over/Under Targets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,626,140.00 | 2,227,827.15 | 237\% |
| 152,756.00 | - | 100\% |
| 281,893.00 | - | 100\% |
| 1,218,980.00 | (820,731.00) | 33\% |
| 196,000.00 | ( $39,000.00$ ) | 80\% |
| 242,487.00 | (52,236.00) | 78\% |

Montara Water \& Sanitary District
Restricted and Non Restricted Cash Assets
July 2016 through June 2017


 10:29 AM
09/16/16
Accrual Basis

10:29 AM
Oh151/16
Accrual Basis
$6900 \cdot$ Sewer Authority Midcoastside
$6910 \cdot$ SAM Collections
$6920 \cdot$ SAM Operations
$6940 \cdot$ SAM Maintenance, Collection Sys
$6950 \cdot$ SAM Maintenance, Pumping
Total 6900 - Sewer Authority Midcoastside
Montara Water \& Sanitary District
Revenue \& Expenditures Budget vs. Actu


|  | -433.57 | -433.57 | 140,256.00 | -140,689.57 | -0.31\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,927.60 | 963.80 | 2,891.40 | 50,000.00 | -47.108.60 | 5.78\% |
| 1,927.60 | 530.23 | 2,457.83 | 190,256.00 | -187.798.17 | 1.29\% |
|  |  |  | 10,000.00 | -10,000.00 |  |
| 1,927.60 | 530.23 | 2.457.83 | 200,256.00 | -197.798.17 | 1.23\% |
| 1,927.50 | 530.23 | 2.457.83 | 200,256.00 | -197,798.17 | 1.23\% |
| 6,845.00 | 28.01 | 6.873 .01 | 1,745,750.00 | -1,738,876.99 | 0.39\% |
| 6,845.00 | 28.01 | 6.873.01 | 1,745,750.00 | $-1,738,876.99$ | 0.39\% |
| 1.680 .75 | 1,672.69 | 3,353.44 | 19,598.00 | -16,244.56 | 17.11\% |
| 12,809,17 | 12,809.17 | 25,618.34 | 153,710.00 | -128,091,66 | 16.67\% |


| Montara Water \& Sanitary District Revenue \& Expenditures Budget vs. Actual - Sewer July 2016 through June 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Jul 16 | Aug 16 | Sep 16 | Oct 16 | Nov 16 | Dec 16 | Jan 17 | Feb 17 | Mar 17 | Apr 17 | May 17 | Jun 17 | Jul' 16. Jun 17 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| 12,808.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,808.84 | 25,201.00 | -12,392.16 | 50.83\% |
| 27,298.76 | 14,481.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,780.62 | 198,509.00 | -156.728.38 | 21.05\% |
| 34,143.76 | 14.509 .87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48,653.63 | 1.944,259.00 | -1,895,605.37 | 2.5\% |
| -32.216.16 | -13,979.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -46,195.80 | -1,744,003.00 | 1,697,807.20 | 2.65\% |
| -141,776.76 | -127,653.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | .269,430.06 | -1,162,638.00 | 893,207.94 | 23.17\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | тот |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul 16 | Aug 18 | Sep 16 | Oot 16 | Nov 16 | Dec 16 | Jan 17 | Feb 17 | Mar 17 | Apr 17 | May 17 | Jun 17 | Jul' 16 - Jun 17 | Budget | SOver Budget | \% of Buaget |
| 2.857.29 | 2,857.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,714.58 | 33,500.00 | -27,785.42 | 17.06\% |
|  | 974.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 974.00 | $4.500 .00$ $900.00$ | $-3,526.00$ | 21.64\% |
|  | 920.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 920.00 | 4,250.00 | -3,330.00 | 21.65\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 800.00 | -800.00 |  |
|  | 1,894.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,894.00 | 10,450.00 | -8,556.00 | 18.12\% |
| 148,457.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 235,000.00 | -235,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000.00 | -13,000.00 |  |
|  | 150,772.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 299,229.51 | 1,800,000.00 | -1.500,770.49 | 16.62\% |
|  | -499.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -499.56 | $-3,000.00$ | 2.500 .44 | 16.65\% |
|  | 152.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152.50 |  |  |  |
| 151,314.43 | 155,178.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 306,491.03 | 2,088,950.00 | -1,782,456.97 | 14.67\% |
| 151,344.43 | 155,176.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 306,491.03 | 2,088,950.00 | -1,782,456.97 | 14.67\% |
| 1,256.24 | 502.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,759.15 | 10,000.00 | -8,240.85 | 17.59\% |
|  | 166.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 166.99 | 3,000.00 | -2,833.01 | 5.57\% |
|  | 112.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112.50 | 3,300.00 | -3,187.50 | 3.41\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,000.00 | -4,000.00 |  |
|  | 279.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 279.49 | 10,300.00 | -10,020.51 | 2.77\% |
| 126.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,500.00 | -15,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,000.00 | -4,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128.87 | 1.500 .00 | $-1,373.13$ | 8.46\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500.00 | -500.00 |  |
| 1,918.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,918.46 | 2.700 .00 | -781.54 | 71.05\% |
| 1,918.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,918.48 | 3,200.00 | -1.281.54 | 59.95\% |




| Montara Water \& Sanitary District Revenue \& Expenditures Budget vs. Actual - Water July 2016 through June 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total |  |  |  |
| Jul 16 |  | Sep 16 | Oct 16 | Nov 16 | Dec 16 | Jan 17 | Feb 17 | Mar 17 | Apr 17 | May 17 | Jun 17 | Jul'16. Jun 17 | Budget | S Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| $5,182.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,182.01 | 112,500.00 | -107,317.99 | 4.61\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6.028 .60 \\ 89.73 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.028 .60 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.73 | 10,000.00 | -9,910.27 | 0.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,000.00 | -40,000.00 |  |
| 6,118.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,118.33 | 50,000.00 | -43,881.67 | 12.24\% |
| 255.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000.00 | -1,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 255.19 | 55,000.00 | -54,744.81 | 0.46\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \\ 349.24 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,000.00 | -25,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.78 | 1.000.00 | -997.22 | 0.28\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 349.24 | 10,000.00 | -9,650.76 | 3.49\% |
| 607.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,500.00 | -2,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 607.21 | 94,500.00 | -93.892.79 | 0.64\% |
| 388.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 388.92 | 30,000.00 | -29,611.08 | 1.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,000.00 | -4,000.00 |  |
| 1,043.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.043 .42 | 30,000.00 | -28,956.58 | 3.48\% |
| 1,432.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,432.34 | 64,000.00 | -62,567.66 | 2.24\% |
| 65.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.39 | 9,000.00 | -8,934.61 | 0.73\% |
| 598.21104.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 598.21 | 8,000.00 | -7,401.79 | 7.48\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104.06 | 1.000.00 | -895.94 | 10.41\% |
| 702.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000.00 | -5,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 702.27 | 14,000.00 | -13,297.73 | 5.02\% |
| 105.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105.94 |  |  |  |
| 52.50 | 28.791.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 475.750.00 | $-446,905.83$ | 6.06\% |
| 59,057.29 | 99,200.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158,257.32 | 1,454,924,00 | -1,298,666.68 | 10.88\% |
| 92,257.14 | 55,976.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148,233.71 | 634,026.00 | -485,792.29 | 23.38\% |




# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

For Meeting Of: September 29, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager
SUBJECT: SAM Flow Report for August 2016

The Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside (SAM) has prepared the following attached reports for the SAM Board of Directors and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board:

- Flow Report for August 2016.
- Collection System Monthly Overflow Report - August 2016.

The Average Daily Flow for Montara was 0.218 MGD in August 2016. There was one reportable overflow due to roots in August in the Montara System. SAM indicates there were 0.12 inches of rain in August 2016.

RECOMMENDATION:
Review and file.

Attachments

## Attachment A

Flow Distribution Report Summary For August 2016

The daily flow report figures for the month of August 2016 have been converted to an Average Daily Flow (ADF) for each Member Agency. The results are attached for your review.
*Influent flow is calculated using the mid-plant flow meter less process water and trucked in waste

The summary of the ADF information is as follows:


fueld $\quad$ OSMW $\quad$ OSJפ $\quad$ aWH $=$

$\varsigma^{\circ} \varepsilon$
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SUBJECT: Review of Current Investment Portfolio

The District's Investment Policy and Guidelines requires that the Board review the status of the current investment portfolio. The following summarizes the status of these accounts:
$>$ The District has most of its idle sewer funds deposited in the State of California's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The Monthly Average interest rate for February was 0.614 for August 2016.
> The District has one checking account with Wells Fargo Bank for Water and Sewer Funds that is largely backed by Federal securities.

## RECOMMENDATION:

District staff attempts to cash manage idle funds in LAIF as long as possible before transferring to the Wells Fargo checking accounts for disbursements.

## MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA

For Meeting Of: September 29, 2016

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: Connection Permit Applications Received

As of September 29, 2016 the following new Sewer Connection Permit applications were received since the last report:

| Date of <br> Application | Property <br> Owner | Site Address | Home <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

As of September 29, 2016 the following new Water (Private Fire Sprinkler) Connection Permit applications were received since the last report:

| Date of <br> Application | Property <br> Owner | Site Address | Home <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

September 29, 2016 the following new Water Connection Permit applications were received since the last report:

| Date of <br> App. | Property <br> Owner | Site Address | Home <br> Size | Type of <br> Connection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

RECOMMENDATION:
No action is required. This is for Board information only.


SUBJECT:

# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

For Meeting Of: September 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager
Monthly Water Production Report
The attached two charts summarize the monthly water production for the District.
The first shows a consolidated from all sources by month. The second shows each water source the District uses, both wells and surface water. The production is shown in gallons of water produced.

## RECOMMENDATION:

No action is required. These reports are provided for the Board's information only.

Attachments: 2

## TOTAL PRODUCTION 2016 (Gallons)

10,000,000


MONTH

MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION 2016



SUBJECT:

# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

For Meeting of: September 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager


The attached chart shows the monthly rainfall at Alta Vista Treatment Plant for the current and prior water years along with seven-year average rain fall.

## RECOMMENDATION:

No action is required. These reports are provided for the Board's information only.

Attachments: 2

## Annual Cumulative Rainfall



Monthly Rainfall Report Oct 2015 - Sept 2016



# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

For Meeting Of: September 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager


## SUBJECT: Monthly Solar Energy Report

The attached chart summarizes the monthly solar production at the Alta Vista Array. Since the installation of the solar panels the District produced 38228 kWh and saved 64987 lbs of CO2.

RECOMMENDATION:
No action is required. This information is provided for the Board's information only.

Attachments: 1

## SOLAR ENERGY PRODUCED IN 2016 (kWh)




SUBJECT:

## MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA

For Meeting Of: September 29, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager


Monthly Public Agency Retirement Service Report for July 2016.

The District has received the monthly PARS report for July 2016.

Contributions are calculated on a bi-weekly basis, and contributions are made on a monthly basis.

The following monthly reports are submitted as consent agenda items on a monthly basis.

RECOMMENDATION:
This is for Board information only.
Attachment

MONTARA WATER \& SANITARY DISCTRICT
PARS REP Program

# Monthly Account Report for the Period 7/1/2016 to 7/31/2016 

Clemens Heldmaier<br>General Manager<br>Montara Water \& Sanitary Disctrict<br>8888 Cabrillo Highway<br>Montara, CA 94037

| Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Beginning <br> Balance as of <br> 7/1/2016 | Contributions | Earnings | Expenses | Distributions | Transfers | Ending Balance as of 7/31/2016 |
| Employer Contribution | \$387,672.22 | \$7,463.37 | \$11,952.45 | \$152.83 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$406,935.21 |
| Totals | \$387,672.22 | \$7,463.37 | \$11,952.45 | \$152.83 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$406,935.21 |

## Investment Selection

Capital Appreciation Index PLUS

## Investment Objective

The primary goal of the Capital Appreciation objective is growth of principal. The major portion of the assets are invested in equity securities and market fluctuations are expected.

Investment Return

|  |  | Annualized Return |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Month | 3-Months | 1-Year | 3-Years | 5-Years | 10-Years | Plan's Inception Date |
| $3.05 \%$ | $4.13 \%$ | - | - | - | - | $3 / 8 / 2016$ |

[^0]
# July 2016 PARS Statement 

Detail Information

PARS Beginning Balance as of July 1, 2016

## Contributions:

June 15, 2016 Calculation

| Wages | $\$ 25,369.29$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Employer $-6.5 \%$ | $\$ 1,649.00$ |
| Employee $-8.25 \%$ | $\$ 2,092.97$ |

Contributions Subtotal

June 30, 2016 Calculation
Wages
\$ 25,230.26
Employer-6.5\%
Employee-8.25\%
Contributions Subtotal
Rounding
Total Contributions thru April

Earnings

Expenses
PARS Ending Balance as of July 31, 2016
\$ 387,672.22

| Fund Impact - PARS Wages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sewer | Water | Total |  |
| $\$ 8,455.81$ | $\$ 16,913.49$ | $\$ 25,369.30$ |  |
| $\$ 549.63$ | $\$ 1,099.38$ | $\$ 1,649.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sewer | Water | Total |  |
| $\$ 8,455.81$ | $\$ 16,774.46$ | $\$ 25,230.27$ |  |
| $\$ 549.63$ | $\$ 1,090.34$ | $\$ 1,639.97$ |  |

\$11,952.45
\$ (152.83)
\$ 406,935.21

# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

540
For Meeting Of: September 29, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager


| SUBJECT: | Review and Possible Action Concerning <br> Adoption of Appropriations Limit for FY 2016- <br>  <br>  <br> 2017 |
| :--- | :--- |

Article XIIIB of the California State Constitution, commonly referred to as the Gann Initiative or Gann Appropriations Limit, was adopted by California voters in 1980 and placed limits on the amount of proceeds of taxes that State and local agencies can appropriate and spend each year.
The limit is different for every agency and changes each year. The annual limit is based on the amount of tax proceeds that were authorized to be spent in FY 1978-79, modified for changes in inflation and population. Inflationary adjustments are based on increases in the California per capita income and changes in County population.

The appropriation limit for the prior FY year was $\$ 1,984,496$. Factoring in the County's change in population for the unincorporated area ( $0.91 \%$ ), and the change in the California per capita personal income (5.37\%) provides the appropriation limit for the new fiscal year. This information is found in the State Department of Finance report received in May 2016. Based on these adjustments the appropriation limit for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 is $\$ 2,110,093$. This is the maximum amount of tax proceeds the District is able to spend in FY 2016-2017. As the attached worksheet shows, the District is $\$ 1,058,445$ below its Gann Limit.

Section 7910 of the State Government Code requires a governing body to annually adopt, by resolution, an Appropriations Limit for the upcoming fiscal year.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt Resolution No. $\qquad$ , Resolution of the Montara Water and Sanitary District Determining the 2016-2017 Appropriation Limit.

## MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT

Appropriation Limit
FY 2016/2017


QFFICE DF THE DIRECTロR
May 2016
Dear Fiscal Officer:

## Subject: Price Factor and Population Information

## Appropriations Limit

The California Revenue and Taxation Code, section 2227, requires the Department of Finance (Finance) to transmit an estimate of the percentage change in population to local governments. Each local jurisdiction must use their percentage change in population factor for January 1, 2016, in conjunction with a change in the cost of living, or price factor, to calculate their appropriations limit for fiscal year 2016-17. Attachment A provides the change in California's per capita personal income and an example for utilizing the price factor and population percentage change factor to calculate the 2016-17 appropriations limit. Attachment B provides the city and unincorporated county population percentage change. Attachment C provides the population percentage change for counties and their summed incorporated areas. The population percentage change data excludes federal and state institutionalized populations and military populations.

## Population Percent Change for Special Districts

Some special districts must establish an annual appropriations limit. The Revenue and Taxation Code, section 2228 provides additional information regarding the appropriations limit.
Article XIII B, section $9(\mathrm{C})$ of the California Constitution exempts certain special districts from the appropriations limit calculation mandate. The Code and the California Constitution can be accessed at the following website: http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes.xhtml.

Special districts required by law to calculate their appropriations limit must present the calculation as part of their annual audit. Any questions special districts have on this requirement should be directed to their county, district legal counsel, or the law itself. No state agency reviews the local appropriations limits.

## Population Certification

The population certification program applies only to cities and counties. Revenue and Taxation Code section 11005.6 mandates Finance to automatically certify any population estimate that exceeds the current certified population with the State Controller's Office. Finance will certify the higher estimate to the State Controller by June 1, 2016.

Please Note: Prior year's city population estimates may be revised.
If you have any questions regarding this data, please contact the Demographic Research Unit at (916) 323-4086.

MICHAEL COHEN
Director
By:

AMY COSTA
Chief Deputy Director
Attachment
A. Price Factor: Article XIII B specifies that local jurisdictions select their cost of living factor to compute their appropriation limit by a vote of their governing body. The cost of living factor provided here is per capita personal income. If the percentage change in per capita personal income is selected, the percentage change to be used in setting the fiscal year 2016-17 appropriation limit is:

Per Capita Personal Income

B. Following is an example using sample population change and the change in California per capita personal income as growth factors in computing a 2016-17 appropriation limit.

## 2016-17:

Per Capita Cost of Living Change $=5.37$ percent
Population Change $=0.90$ percent

Per Capita Cost of Living converted to a ratio:

Population converted to a ratio:

Calculation of factor for FY 2016-17:

$$
1.0537 \times 1.0090=1.0632
$$

## Fiscal Year 2016-17

## Attachment B

Annual Percent Change in Population Minus Exclusions* January 1, 2015 to January 1, 2016 and Total Population, January 1, 2016

| County City | Percent Change$2015-2016$ | -.. Population Minus Exclusions --- |  | Total Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-1-15 | 1-1-16 | 1-1-2016 |
| San Mateo |  |  |  |  |
| Atherton | 0.48 | 7,116 | 7,150 | 7,150 |
| Belmont | 0.75 | 27,627 | 27,834 | 27,834 |
| Brisbane | 2.09 | 4,603 | 4,699 | 4,699 |
| Burlingame | 0.62 | 29,542 | 29,724 | 29,724 |
| Colma | 0.47 | 1,502 | 1,509 | 1,509 |
| Daly City | 0.68 | 108,402 | 109,139 | 109,139 |
| East Palo Alto | 0.76 | 30,316 | 30,545 | 30,545 |
| Foster City | 0.51 | 33,033 | 33,201 | 33,201 |
| Half Moon Bay | 3.23 | 12,136 | 12,528 | 12,528 |
| Hillsborough | 0.96 | 11,576 | 11,687 | 11,687 |
| Menlo Park | 0.50 | 33,548 | 33,716 | 33,863 |
| Millbrae | 0.46 | 23,029 | 23,136 | 23,136 |
| Pacifica | 0.32 | 37,685 | 37,806 | 37,806 |
| Portola Valley | 1.02 | 4,703 | 4,751 | 4,751 |
| Redwood City | 2.15 | 84,179 | 85,992 | 85,992 |
| San Bruno | 0.50 | 45,134 | 45,360 | 45,360 |
| San Carlos | 0.34 | 28,910 | 29,008 | 29,008 |
| San Mateo | 1.41 | 101,228 | 102,659 | 102,659 |
| South San Francisco | 0.43 | 64,311 | 64,585 | 64,585 |
| Woodside | 0.85 | 5,616 | 5,664 | 5,664 |
| Unincorporated | 0.60 | 64,814 | 65,201 | 65,201 |
| County Total | 0.91 | 759,010 | 765,894 | 766,041 |

*Exclusions include residents on federal military installations and group quarters residents in state mental institutions, state and federal correctional institutions and veteran homes.

## RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION OF THE MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT DETERMINING THE 2016-2017 APPROPRIATION LIMIT

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF THE MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT, A PUBLIC AGENCY IN THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA, AS FOLLOWS:

1. The District, on the basis of appropriations limit calculations, which have been on file and available for public review in the office of the Secretary of the District, has calculated the maximum limit applicable to the appropriations of tax proceeds for the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 to be the sum of Two Million One Hundred and Ten Four Thousand and Ninety Three Dollars (\$2,110,093).
2. The basis for said calculations are available and on file in the office of the Secretary of said District.
3. The Secretary shall post this Resolution at the official places of posting.

COUNTERSIGNED:
President, Montara Water and Sanitary District

Secretary, Montara Water and Sanitary District

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution No. $\qquad$ was duly and regularly adopted and passed by the Board of the Montara Water and Sanitary District, San Mateo County, California, at a meeting thereof on the $29^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2016, by the following vote:

AYES, Directors:
NOES, Directors:
ABSENT, Directors:

# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT 

## NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ADOPT APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Board of the Montara Water and Sanitary District proposes to adopt a resolution establishing the District's appropriations limit for the fiscal year July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017, pursuant to the provisions of California Constitution Article XIIIB upon the date, and at the time and place, hereinafter specified. Documentation used in the necessary determinations is available in the District Administrative office at the address hereinafter specified.

The Board shall consider adoption of the foregoing resolution at a meeting of the Board as follows:

DATE:
TIME: $\quad$ 7:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be considered.

PLACE: District Board Chambers
8888 Cabrillo Highway
Montara, CA 94037
(www.mwsd.montara.org)


SUBJECT: Receive Update on $4^{\text {TH }}$ Street Water Main Replacement Project

Construction of the $4^{\text {th }}$ Street Water Main Replacement Project is proceeding well and is on schedule. During the month of September 2016, the General Contractor, Stoloski \& Gonzalez (S\&G), installed the new 6-inch-diameter C900 PVC water main from East Avenue to Audubon Avenue (approximately 1,300 feet), including new isolation valves and one hydrant. S\&G also installed 41 new services to the homes on $4^{\text {th }}$ Street. The water main and services were successfully pressure-tested and disinfected. During the last week of September the old services will be disconnected at the meter and connected to the new services/water main. Once all residences are switched over to the new main the existing 2-inch-diameter main will be decommissioned and abandoned in place.

## RECOMMENDATION:

This report is presented to the Board for information only; no action is requested at this time.


## SUBJECT: Review of InPipe Power Generation - Potential Use of New Technology

The District's water distribution system experiences high pressures due to the terrain and location of the storage and production facilities. These high pressures must be alleviated to protect the distribution system and prevent water main breaks and leaks. This is currently accomplished with the Pressure Regulating Stations, however, for some time now, staff has been considering various options of utilizing the energy in the water mains to generate electricity while reducing the pressure, thus putting the energy in the distribution system to beneficial use.

The industry for in-pipe power generation is rapidly evolving and staff was able to consider various alternatives, however, nothing to date proved promising, mostly due to the fact that various products becoming available on the market are targeted for installation in very large pipelines (48-inch-diameter and larger).

Recently, however, staff identified a new product that might have a good potential for small diameter mains. Staff met and toured the District's facilities with a representative of InPipe Energy, a company that specializes in energy generation in pipelines of 24 -inch-diameter and smaller.
Staff will continue working with InPipe Energy to determine the feasibility of an installation in the District's distribution system and will report the results of these discussions to the Board at a future date. The InPipe Energy literature is attached for the Board's information.

## RECOMMENDATION:

This report is presented to the Board for information only; no action is requested at this time.

# INPIPE <br> E N E R G Y 

## Executive Summary

InPipe Energy is a renewable energy generation and smart water technology company focused on improving the economics of water operations by recapturing many megawatts of energy embedded in the flow of water pipelines and improving the intelligence and costs for water infrastructure management.

Water pipeline systems throughout the world contain enormous amounts of potential base load, clean energy that is being burned off through valves that control water flow and pressure. The InPipe Power System (IPS) is able to efficiently capture this potential energy and convert it into a predictable, consistent source of renewable, predictable electricity, enabling water agencies to run operations on clean energy, reduce carbon emissions and monetize their largest assets, water pipelines.

InPipe Energy's Solution. InPipe Energy's initial product, the InPipe Power System, is a renewable energy generating technology that allows water-intensive industries and entities to generate clean, reliable, dispatchable electricity using existing infrastructure, without building power plants or changing the way they do things. The IPS includes a patent pending, generation solution that harnesses the energy of fast-moving water inside gravity-fed pipelines, converting it into a continuous source of low-cost electricity ( $2-6$ cents per KWH). The product targets pipelines 24 inches and smaller.


The IPS works by harvesting a pipeline's excess head pressure without disrupting or adversely impacting the effectiveness of water transmission operations. The IPS has a proprietary control system that allows it to easily adapt an existing pipeline to be able to manage pressure and produce energy without sacrificing operational control or safety. The IPS can also be used as part of smart grid strategies that call for stored renewable energy that can be dispatched at critical or peak times. In addition,, the IPS collects real-time water quality data for integration in smart grid information systems. The IPS can be deployed during new, rehab and retrofit pipeline projects. Once installed, InPipe provides advanced monitoring and protection of the overall system. This is water that is already flowing through pipelines all over the world.

Competitive Advantage. Unlike renewable energy sources such as wind and solar energy, IPS' power production is predictable and consistent. Its location in parallel to existing pipelines means IPS is inherently more secure and has no environmental impact. This results in low regulatory hurdles and high returns per dollar invested for renewable energy developers. The sensors that manage turbine performance simultaneously measure water characteristics including pressure (flow) and temperature
and can readily be customized to measure other parameters. This data can allow for near real-time detection of line failures, contamination and water security breaches.

In an existing pipeline, the IPS can be installed in a day without any disruption of flowing water and commence energy generation shortly after. Permitting requirements are minimal and installation costs are low. Electricity produced from the IPS can be used "behind the meter" to power on-site pumps and other devices or to charge storage systems. It can also be connected to the grid for net metering and the data it collects can be fully integrated into SCADA and microgrid systems. In addition to avoiding issues with intermittency present with many renewable energy sources, the high capacity factors of IPS relative to system cost means that electricity from IPS is less expensive than other forms of renewable energy and competitive with grid-sourced electricity.

Competitive Landscape:

|  |  |  |  | R | Hydro- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InPipe Energy | Small Wind | Small Solar | Hydro | kinetics |  |

Comparison of InPipe Energy to the current market leader:

|  | InPipe Energy | Lucid Energy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Target market | Retrofit | New Installations |
| Pipe Sizes | 24 inches and smaller | 42 inches and larger |
| Installation | Bypassed | Inline |
| Efficiency | $82 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Energy extracted | Up to $90 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Cost of Energy | .02 cents per kwh | .08 cents per kwh |

Business Model. InPipe Energy is a systems integrator and in some cases operate as a WESCO, (Water Energy Services Company), reducing risk for water agencies and cities interested in producing renewable energy to take advantage of this technology. We do energy assessments, identify the best places to locate our systems,, install, operate and maintain the system in exchange for a long term commitment to purchase the energy, or we can also rent the space from a water agency. We estimate the average project will take 4 months from the time of signing of an Agreement to commence energy production.

The Company earns revenues through the following primary sources: (1) sales of equipment, (2) as project sponsor or as project developer; (3) as equity participant; and (4) as O\&M provider. In
addition, InPipe Energy will package and sell monthly subscriptions to the data collected about pipeline operations and analysis conducted on that data that bears on water quality and security. In the second year of our Plan, we will create a special purpose vehicle to finance projects.

Our goal is to be able to work with water agencies in multiple projects, aggregate and finance them so that there is no investment for water agencies to adopt this smart capability. As we expand to overseas markets, we expect to partner with major engineering firms and entrepreneurs in the renewable energy and water sectors to grow rapidly, taking advantage of the very large, untapped opportunity in markets outside the US.

Early Traction. InPipe Energy has 13 municipalities in Brazil committed to purchasing the InPipe Energy Power System. InPipe Energy in collaboration with a very large, prestigious Brazilian infrastructure firm worked together with a financial partner to make this happen and has plans to commercialize its product throughout Brazil starting in 2017. The financial partner is committed to financing the projects in Brazil and is in discussions regarding other Latin American and Caribbean countries for expansion.

Several U.S. cities, including New York, Riverside, Denver, San Jose and San Diego are exploring conduit hydro and its potential to bring many megawatts of green, dispatchable power to their residents and improve resiliency. InPipe Energy is cultivating relationships with progressive water agencies that are evaluating deployments in 2017. The Company has been concurrently assembling a capital-efficient, outsourced manufacturing and supply approach that enables us it to keep our product costs low.

The Team. Gregg Semler, InPipe Energy's founder, president, is uniquely qualified to bring InPipe's products to market. Gregg is a veteran of the clean energy market who brings more than two decades' of executive leadership skills to InPipe Energy. Immediately prior to founding InPipe Energy, Gregg served as founder, president and CEO of Lucid Energy. Prior to that, he served for four years as Managing Director at Pivotal Investments, an early stage venture fund focused on Northwest based companies aiming to deliver superior financial returns in the sustainable economy. As his professional track record demonstrates, clean energy technology is Gregg's passion. Gregg earned his BA from Columbia and his MBA from Dartmouth's Tuck School. In addition to Gregg, the Company has recruited an experienced multi-disciplinary team ready to join the company and dedicate their careers to making InPipe Energy into a global leader in the in-pipe hydro market. These individuals include significant technical water and energy infrastructure backgrounds.

Summary. InPipe Energy offers an opportunity for clean, reliable electricity generation from the already existing but untapped pipeline systems that currently exist all over the world. InPipe also gives pipeline operators a head-start on monitoring the characteristics of their water supply. It is based on partnering with the major market leaders in water and energy infrastructure development and deployment.

Contact. For more information contact Gregg Semler, President and Founder - 503-341-0004 or email - Gregg@inpipeenergy.com


# MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT AGENDA 

For Meeting Of: September 29, 2016
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: Clemens Heldmaier, General Manager
SUBJECT: Review and Possible Action Concerning Cancellation of Next Regular Scheduled Meetings, October 6, and October 202016.

At this time no urgent items require holding the meetings in October.

RECOMMENDATION:
Cancel the regular scheduled meeting, October 6, and October 20, 2016.


[^0]:    Information as provided by US Bank, Trustee for PARS; Not FDIC Insured; No Bank Guarantee; May Lose Value
     subject to change.

    Investment Return: Annualized rate of return is the return on an investment over a period other than one year multiplied or divided to give a comparable one-year return.
    Account balances are inclusive of Trust Administration (unless invoiced), Trustee and Investment Management fees

